



From: Growing Science  
200 King street North  
N2J 4Z4, Waterloo, Ontario,  
Canada, Tel: 519-900-1541  
Date: April 5, 2023

Dear *Mochammad Jasin*

I would like to confirm that your paper entitled “**The role of green supply chain management (GSCM) on the competitiveness and performance of Indonesian manufacturing companies**” with *Yunia Silviana Sesunan, Cut Erika Ananda Fatimah, Leis Suzanawaty, Amalia Amalia, I Wayan Ruspindi Junaedi and Hastin Umi Anisah* has been accepted for publication on *Uncertain Supply Chain Management*, An international journal.

Sincerely,

Seyed Jafar Sadjadi

Growing Science

Compose

Inbox 3,705

Starred

Snoozed

Sent

Drafts 46

More

Labels

Navigation icons: back, forward, search, trash, archive, refresh, print, share, etc.

1 of 2

### Submission ID: 2391 - Green Supply Chain Management: Mediating the competitiveness and Performance of Manufacturing Companies



USCM Editor <uscsm.editorial@gmail.com>

Sat, Apr 1, 10:13 AM

to Moch, yunia.silvia, cut.erika, leis.suzanawaty, amalia, me

Thank you for your submission to USCM. Below is a copy of the information submitted for your records.

Submission ID: 2391

Title: Green Supply Chain Management: Mediating the competitiveness and Performance of Manufacturing Companies

Topic(s):

- Uncertainty in SCM applications

Keywords: Green Supply Chain Management, competitiveness, Performance, Manufacturing Companies

Abstract:

Green Supply Chain Management: Mediating the competitiveness and Performance of Manufacturing Companies. The purpose of this study is to analyze the effect of Green Supply Chain Management on competitiveness, the effect of Green Supply Chain Management

Compose

Inbox 3,705

Starred

Snoozed

Sent

Drafts 46

More

Labels

Navigation icons: back, forward, search, trash, archive, refresh, print, share, etc.

1 of 2

Keywords: Green Supply Chain Management, competitiveness, Performance, Manufacturing Companies

Abstract:

Green Supply Chain Management: Mediating the competitiveness and Performance of Manufacturing Companies. The purpose of this study is to analyze the effect of Green Supply Chain Management on competitiveness, the effect of Green Supply Chain Management on Performance and the effect of competitiveness on Performance. This study used quantitative methods and research data were obtained using online questionnaires distributed via social media. The research respondents were managers of manufacturing companies and the number of samples used was 540 respondents who were determined using a purposive sampling technique. Data analysis in this study used Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) and software used for data processing by AMOS 26. The results showed that Green Supply Chain Management had a positive and significant effect on competitiveness, Green Supply Chain Management had a positive and significant effect on Performance and competitiveness has a positive and significant effect on performance.

Comments:



USCM Editor <uscsm.editorial@gmail.com>

Apr 2, 2023, 10:45 AM

to humianisah@ulm.ac.id, Moch, yunia.silvia, cut.erika, leis.suzanawaty, amalia, me

Dear Jasin et al,

Please revise your papers according our Editorial team comments below:

Compose

- Inbox 3,705
- Starred
- Snoozed
- Sent
- Drafts 46
- More

Labels +

Please revise your papers according our Editorial team comments below:

Abstract

- Sometimes written as an afterthought, the abstract is of extreme importance as in many instances this section is what is initially previewed by readership to determine if the remainder of the article is worth reading. This is the authors opportunity to draw the reader into the study and entice them to read the rest of the article.
- The abstract is a summary of the article or study written in 3rd person allowing the readers to get a quick glance of what the contents of the article include.
- Writing an abstract is rather challenging as being brief, accurate and concise are requisite.
- The headings and structure for an abstract are usually provided in the instructions for authors.
- In some instances, the abstract may change slightly pending content revisions required during the peer review process.
- Therefore it often works well to complete this portion of the manuscript last. Remember the abstract should be able to stand alone and should be as succinct as possible.

Introduction and Review of Literature

- The introduction is one of the more difficult portions of the manuscript to write. Past studies are used to set the stage or provide the reader with information regarding the necessity of the represented project.
- For an introduction to work properly, the reader must feel that the research question is clear, concise, and worthy of study.
- A competent introduction should include at least four key concepts: 1) significance of the topic, the information gap in the available literature associated with the topic, 3) a literature review in support of the key questions, 4) subsequently developed purposes/objectives and hypotheses.

Compose

- Inbox 3,705
- Starred
- Snoozed
- Sent
- Drafts 46
- More

Labels +

Methods

- The methods section should clearly describe the specific design of the study and provide clear and concise description of the procedures that were performed.
- The purpose of sufficient detail in the methods section is so that an appropriately trained person would be able to replicate your experiments.
- There should be complete transparency when describing the study
  - A clear methods section should contain the following information: 1) the population and equipment used in the study, 2) how the population and equipment were prepared and what was done during the study, 3) the protocol used, 4) the outcomes and how they were measured, 5) the methods used for data analysis. Initially a brief paragraph should explain the overall procedures and study design.
  - Within this first paragraph there is generally a description of inclusion and exclusion criteria which help the reader understand the population used. Paragraphs that follow should describe in more detail the procedures followed for the study. A clear description of how data was gathered is also helpful.
  - For example were data gathered prospectively or retrospectively? Who if anyone was blinded, and where and when was the actual data collected?
  - Although it is a good idea for the authors to have justification and a rationale for their procedures, these should be saved for inclusion into the discussion section, not to be discussed in the methods section.
  - However, occasionally studies supporting components of the methods section such as reliability of tests, or validation of outcome measures may be included in the methods section.
  - The final portion of the methods section will include the statistical methods used to analyze the data. This does not mean that the actual results should be discussed in the methods section, as they have an entire section of their own!
  - Most scientific journals support the need for all projects involving humans or animals to have

Compose

- Inbox 3,705
- Starred
- Snoozed
- Sent
- Drafts 46
- More

Labels +

1 of 2

- Most scientific journals support the need for all projects involving humans or animals to have up-to-date documentation of ethical approval.<sup>20</sup> The methods section should include a clear statement that the researchers have obtained approval from an appropriate institutional review board.

Results and Discussion

- In most journals the results section is separate from the discussion section.
  - It is important that you clearly distinguish your results from your discussion.
  - The results section should describe the results only. The discussion section should put those results into a broader context.
  - Report your results neutrally, as you "found them". Again, be thoughtful about content and structure.
  - Think carefully about where content is placed in the overall structure of your paper. It is not appropriate to bring up additional results, not discussed in the results section, in the discussion.
  - All results must first be described/presented and then discussed. Thus, the discussion should not simply be a repeat of the results section. Carefully discuss where your information is similar or different from other published evidence and why this might be so. What was different in methods or analysis, what was similar?
  - Do not discuss extraneous ideas, concepts, or information not covered by your topic/paper/commentary. Be sure to carefully address all relevant results, not just the statistically significant ones or the ones that support your hypotheses.
- When you must resort to speculation or opinion, be certain to state that up front using phrases such as "we therefore speculate" or "in the authors' opinion".
- Remember, just as in the introduction and literature review, evidence or results cannot draw conclusions, just as previously stated, only people, scientists, researchers, and authors can!
  - Finish with a concise, 3-5 sentence conclusion paragraph.

Compose

- Inbox 3,705
- Starred
- Snoozed
- Sent
- Drafts 46
- More

Labels +

1 of 2

- Finish with a concise, 3-5 sentence conclusion paragraph.
- This is not just a restatement of your results, rather is comprised of some final, summative statements that reflect the flow and outcomes of the entire paper. Do not include speculative statements or additional material; however, based upon your findings a statement about potential changes in clinical practice or future research opportunities can be provided here.
- Your results should be organised into an orderly and logical sequence. Only the most relevant results should be described in the text, to highlight the most important points. Figures, tables, and equations should be used for purposes of clarity and brevity. Data should not be reproduced in more than one form, for example in both figures and tables, without good reason.
- The purpose of the discussion is to explain the meaning of your results and why they are important.
- You should state the impact of your results compared with recent work and relate it back to the problem or question you posed in your introduction. Ensure claims are backed up by evidence and explain any complex arguments.

CONCLUSIONS

- Writing for publication can be a challenging yet satisfying endeavor.
  - The ability to examine, relate, and interlink evidence, as well as to provide a peer-reviewed, disseminated product of your research labors can be rewarding.
  - A few suggestions have been offered in this commentary that may assist the novice or the developing writer to attempt, polish, and perfect their approach to scholarly writing.
  - This is for interpretation of the key results and to highlight the novelty and significance of the work. The conclusions should not summarise information already present in the article or abstract. You can also include any plans for relevant future work here.
- References
- Use APA style
  - Use recent at least 5 years later references
  - Use 20 references minimum

Gmail jasin Active Google

Compose

Inbox 3,705

Starred

Snoozed

Sent

Drafts 46

More

Labels +

---

Regards,

Editorial Team

---

**USCM Editor** <uscm.editorial@gmail.com> Apr 2, 2023, 11:05 AM ☆ ↶ ⋮

to Moch, yunia.silvia, cut.erika, leis.suzanawaty, amalia, me, humianisah@ulm.ac.id

Dear **Jasin** et al.

Your similarity index is 17%, You should reduce to be maximum 5%.

Thank you

Editorial Team

---

**USCM Editor** <uscm.editorial@gmail.com> Apr 2, 2023, 4:09 PM ☆ ↶ ⋮

to Moch, yunia.silvia, cut.erika, leis.suzanawaty, amalia, me, humianisah@ulm.ac.id

Dear Authors,

Gmail jasin Active Google

Compose

Inbox 3,705

Starred

Snoozed

Sent

Drafts 46

More

Labels +

---

**USCM Editor** <uscm.editorial@gmail.com> Apr 2, 2023, 4:09 PM ☆ ↶ ⋮

to Moch, yunia.silvia, cut.erika, leis.suzanawaty, amalia, me, humianisah@ulm.ac.id

Dear Authors,

Please revise your manuscript according to the reviewers' comments.

**Reviewer #1:**

1. This paper has a potential to be accepted, but some important points have to be clarified or fixed before we can proceed and a positive action can be taken.
2. In Abstract, before the goal of the research please add a brief background of the research.
3. Please use number for citation in text, and in the list of references.
4. Please use Conclusion rather than Summary
5. The problem statements that the authors want to address at this paper are not clear.

**Reviewer #2:**

1. The paper is well written. The Introduction and Background sections provide useful information for the readers.
2. At the introduction part, the authors did not explain the importance of this study.
3. The author should be congratulated for conducting an important study.
4. The authors should provide key points and the contribution of current study to literature and what messages are provided with the present study
5. The authors should report some of the limitations of this study in detailed

Gmail

Compose

Inbox 3,705

Starred

Snoozed

Sent

Drafts 46

More

Labels +

jasin

Active

Google

1 of 2

present study

5. The authors should report some of the limitations of this study in detailed
6. The reference format in this paper needs to be rechecked with the formal format of this conference.

Reviewer #3:

1. The manuscript needs extensive revision for language and grammar
2. The inclusion criteria used are not mentioned clearly. The keywords used for publication search are missing.
3. The innovations of this manuscript are limited. Most of the results have already been described in some review papers
5. Some reference does not meet the format requirements of journals

reviewer #4 :

1. The abstract should be between approximately 150 to 250 words.
2. Introduction should conclude with an identification of a problem that has not been previously considered in the literature
3. Results should be reported in as factual and objective way as possible, initially without interpretation or discussion.
4. Interpretation of the results should be presented in a separate section (or subsection of the Results), distinct from the reporting of source data.
5. The Conclusion should typically be less than half a page, when formatted in journal publication format.
6. A paragraph should contain at least three sentences [preferably four or more] that develop a single concept.
7. Single sentence paragraphs should not be used.
8. Do not start a new paragraph after an equation.

Thank you

Gmail

Compose

Inbox 3,705

Starred

Snoozed

Sent

Drafts 46

More

Labels +

jasin

Active

Google

1 of 2

USCM Editor <uscsm.editorial@gmail.com> Apr 3, 2023, 11:12AM

to Moch, yunia.silvia, cut.erika, leis.suzanawaty, amalia, me, humanisah@ulm.ac.id

Dear Mochammad Jasin et al.

I have received the comments from the reviewers and it appears that the paper could be considered for publication should it go under careful editing.

This paper fits well to the scope of this journal and can be published after it is proof-read, for instance example:

According to Novitasari et al. (2021) defines Green supply chain management as the integration of environmental thinking into Supply Chain Management, including product design, material purchasing and supplier selection, manufacturing processes, delivery of final products to consumers and also product management.

should become

Novitasari et al. (2021) define Green supply chain management as the integration of environmental thinking into Supply Chain Management, including product design, material purchasing and supplier selection, manufacturing processes, delivery of final products to consumers and also product management.

or

According to Zhang et al. (2022) explained that the GSCM concept is the integration of an environmental perspective into supply chain management including product design, selection and selection of raw material sources, manufacturing processes, delivery of final

Gmail jasin Active Google

Compose

Inbox 3,705

Starred

Snoozed

Sent

Drafts 46

More

Labels +

management including product design, selection and selection of raw material sources, manufacturing processes, delivery of final products to consumers and management of products after they expire.

should become

Zhang et al. (2022) explained that the GSCM concept is the integration of an environmental perspective into supply chain management including product design, selection and selection of raw material sources, manufacturing processes, delivery of final products to consumers and management of products after they expire.

moreover

According to Testa et al. (2010) companies in implementing supply chain management in order to increase competitiveness which is manifested in improving operational performance. Information sharing is an important element in supply chain management, because transparent and accurate information sharing can speed up the supply chain process from suppliers to markets or consumers.

should become

According to Testa et al. (2010) companies are implementing supply chain management in order to increase competitiveness which is manifested in improving operational performance. Information sharing is an important element in supply chain management, because transparent and accurate information sharing can speed up the supply chain process from suppliers to markets or consumers.

...

Gmail jasin Active Google

Compose

Inbox 3,705

Starred

Snoozed

Sent

Drafts 46

More

Labels +

**USCM Editor** <uscm.editorial@gmail.com> Apr 4, 2023, 8:06 AM ☆ ↶ ⋮

to Moch, yunia.silvia, cut.erika, leis.suzanawaty, amalia, me, humanisah@ulm.ac.id

Dear **Jasin** et al.

Thank you for your revised paper email.

According to our reviewers recommendation , your paper has been accepted , The LoA will be sent after you sent to us the payment receipt/proof of payment.

You may use the following two options to make the payment of publication fee.

1. PayPal: In this case you could make \$1600USD+4%=\$1664USD to our account: [growingsscience@gmail.com](mailto:growingsscience@gmail.com)
2. Bank account: Please make \$1600+80\$=\$1680USD to the following bank account

Account Holder First Name: Seyed Jafar  
 Account Holder Last Name: Sadjadi  
 Bank Name: RBC ROYAL Bank  
 Bank Address: 248 King St N, Waterloo, ON N2J 2Y7, Canada  
 Transit Number: 07702  
 Bank Account: 4527420  
 International Bank Swift Code: ROYCCAT2  
 Tel.: Phone: +1 519-747-8320  
 CC Code CC0003TRANSIT e.g. CC000307702

Compose

- Inbox 3,705
- Starred
- Snoozed
- Sent
- Drafts 46
- More

Labels +

← [Icons] 1 of 2 >

Tel.: Phone: +1 519-747-8320  
CC Code CC0003TRANSIT e.g. CC000307702

The address of the bank account holder is also as follows,

32 Schubert Dr Toronto Ontario, M1E 1Y7

Once you make the payment, we will keep you informed shortly.

Sincerely

Babak Farhang

USCM Editor <uscm.editorial@gmail.com> Apr 5, 2023, 8:20AM ☆ ↶ ⋮

to Moch, yunia.silvia, cut.erika, leis.suzanawaty, amalia, me, humanisah@ulm.ac.id

Dear Authors,

Thank You, We have received your payment 1640 USD by Paypal  
Your paper will be available online /preprint within 30 working days and will be published in Volume 11, Number 3 (June 2023)

Thank you and Best Regards

Compose

- Inbox 3,705
- Starred
- Snoozed
- Sent
- Drafts 46
- More

Labels +

← [Icons] 1 of 2 >

USCM Editor <uscm.editorial@gmail.com> Apr 5, 2023, 2:32PM ☆ ↶ ⋮

to Moch, yunia.silvia, cut.erika, leis.suzanawaty, amalia, me, humanisah@ulm.ac.id

Dear Jasin et al,

Kindly, please visit our website at

<https://www.growingscience.com/uscm/online.html>  
[http://www.growingscience.com/uscm/online/uscm\\_2023\\_63.pdf](http://www.growingscience.com/uscm/online/uscm_2023_63.pdf)

download your paper, read it very carefully and let me know if there is any problem.  
Attached, please find the acceptance letter. Also, please sign the attached copyright form and email it back to me.  
Your will be published in Volume 11, Number 3 ( on June 2023)

Please check our website <https://growingscience.com/uscm/uscm.html>

Sincerely  
Farhang  
Editorial Team

⋮

2 Attachments • Scanned by Gmail

📄 🗑️

Compose

- Inbox 3,705
- Starred
- Snoozed
- Sent
- Drafts 46
- More
- Labels +

Sincerely  
Farhang  
Editorial Team

2 Attachments • Scanned by Gmail

- PDF acceptance letter...
- Word CopyrightUSCM...

Reply Reply all Forward